

A self-assessment tool to gauge your organization's readiness for ISO 42001 alignment or certification.



About code4thought: code4thought is a technology company focused on rendering Al and large-scale software systems trustworthy and thoughtful. Through our proprietary Al Quality Testing platform, <u>iQ4Al</u> and expert advisory <u>Trustworthy Al services</u>, we provide comprehensive quality testing and assessment solutions for Al systems across the entire lifecycle. We empower organizations with the tools and insights needed to ensure performance, compliance and responsible Al development and adoption.



Contents

| Understanding the needs and expectations of interested parties | 3 |
|--|----|
| 2. Al Policy | 3 |
| 3. Roles, responsibilities and authorities | 4 |
| 4. Reporting of concerns | 4 |
| 5. Al Objectives | 5 |
| 6. Actions to address risks and opportunities | 6 |
| 7. Al System Impact Assessment | 6 |
| 8. Resources | 7 |
| 9. Management guidance for AI system development | 8 |
| 10. Al system requirements and specifications | 8 |
| 11. Documentation of AI system design and development | 9 |
| 12. Al system verification and validation | 9 |
| 13. Al System Deployment | 10 |
| 14. Al System Operation and Monitoring | 11 |
| 15. Al System Technical Documentation | 12 |
| 16. Al system recording of event logs | 13 |
| 17. Data for AI systems | 14 |
| 18. Acquisition of data | 14 |
| 19. Quality of data for AI systems | 15 |
| 20. Data provenance | |
| 21. Data preparation | 15 |
| 22. System documentation and information for users | 16 |
| 23. Communication of incidents | 17 |
| 24. Processes for responsible use of AI systems | 17 |
| 25. Objectives for responsible use of AI systems | 17 |
| 26. Intended use of the AI system | 18 |
| 27. Third-party and customer relationships | 19 |
| Take the Next Step Toward Responsible Al Governance | 21 |

Note: This guide provides a general overview of ISO 42001 and its application. Organizations should seek expert advice to determine the most appropriate course of action for their specific needs and circumstances.



1. Understanding the needs and expectations of interested parties

| 1) | Information to be reported to interested parties can include, for example: Mark all that apply. | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| | | technical system documentation, including, but not limited, to datasets for training, validation and testing as well as algorithmic choices justifications and verification and validation records; | |
| | | risks related to the system; | |
| | | results of impact assessments; | |
| | | logs and other system records. 1.2.5. | |
| | 2. Al Po | olicy | |
| | • | policy can be informed by: all that apply. | |
| | iviai K a | in that apply. | |
| | | business strategy; | |
| | | organisational values and culture and the amount of risk the organisation is willing to pursue or retain; | |
| | | the level of risk posed by the AI systems; | |
| | | legal requirements, including contracts; | |
| | | the risk environment of the organisation; | |
| | | impact to relevant interested parties. 2.2.4 | |
| | - | policy can include: all that apply. | |
| | | principles that guide all activities of the organisation related to Al; | |
| | | process for handling deviations and exceptions to policy. 2.2.5 | |
| | Topics | that may require additional guidance: | |
| | \Rightarrow | Al resources and assets; | |
| | \Rightarrow | Al system impact assessments; | |
| | \Rightarrow | Al system development. | |



3. Roles, responsibilities and authorities

| 1) | • | ou defined roles and responsibilities for any of these areas: |
|----|------|--|
| | | |
| | | risk management; |
| | | Al system impact assessments; |
| | | asset and resource management; |
| | | security; |
| | | safety; |
| | | privacy; |
| | | development; |
| | | performance; |
| | | human oversight; |
| | | supplier relationships; |
| | | demonstrate its ability to consistently fulfil legal requirements; |
| | | data quality management (during the whole life cycle); |
| | | the development, review and evaluation of the Al policy. 2.3.3 |
| 4. | Repo | orting of concerns |
| 1) | | porting mechanism fulfils the functions below (advisory list): ark all that apply. |
| | | options for confidentiality or anonymity or both; |
| | | available and promoted to employed and contracted persons; |
| | | staffed with qualified persons; |
| | | stipulates appropriate investigation and resolution powers for the persons referred to in c); |
| | | provides for mechanisms to report and to escalate to management in a timely manner; |
| | | provides for effective protection from reprisals for both the persons concerned with reporting and investigation (by anonymity and confidentiality); |
| | | provides reports according to the Al management system; |
| | | provides response mechanisms within an appropriate time frame. 2.4.2 |



5. Al Objectives

1) A non-exclusive AI objective list that may be checked against your organisation's AI objectives: Mark all that apply. Accountability and accessibility Existing accountability frameworks should be reviewed. Actions of natural persons can now be supported by or based on the use of an Al system. ☐ Al expertise Dedicated specialists with expertise in assessing, developing and deploying AI systems is needed. ☐ Availability and quality of training and test data Al systems based on ML need training, validation and test data in order to train and verify the systems for the intended behaviour. ☐ Environmental impact The use of AI can have positive and negative impacts on the environment ☐ Fairness The inappropriate application of AI systems for automated decision making can be unfair to specific persons or groups of persons. ☐ Maintainability The ability of the organisation to handle modifications of the Al system in order to correct defects or adjust to new requirements. ☐ **Privacy** The misuse or disclosure of sensitive and personal data (e.g., health records) can have harmful effects on data subjects. Robustness and reliability Demonstrate the ability (or inability) of the system to have comparable performance on new data as on the data on which it was trained or the data of typical operations. ☐ Safety The expectation that a system does not, under defined conditions, lead to a state in which human life, health, property or the environment is endangered. ☐ Security New security issues should be considered beyond classical information and system security concerns, with regard to AI systems based on ML approaches. ☐ Transparency and explainability Transparency relates both to characteristics of the organisation operating AI systems and to those systems themselves. Explainability relates to explanations of important factors influencing the AI system results that are provided to interested parties in a way understandable to humans. 3.1.2

2) Does the organisation provide requirements and guidelines as necessary to ensure that measures are integrated into the various stages (e.g., the requirement to use a specific

☐ Yes ☐ No *3.1.4*



testing tool or method to address unfairness or unwanted bias) to achieve such objectives?

6. Actions to address risks and opportunities

| 1) | Has the organisation defined 'risk' adapted to its business context? Consider the definitions used in sectors where the AI system is developed for and used. |
|----|---|
| | ☐ Yes ☐ No <i>3.2.1.1</i> |

7. Al System Impact Assessment

| 1) | | organisation include in its AI system impact assessment procedure: all that apply. |
|----|---|---|
| | | cumstances under which an AI system impact assessment should be performed ich can include, but are not limited to: |
| | | ☐ criticality of the intended purpose and context in which the AI system is used or any significant changes to these; |
| | ١ | □ complexity of AI technology and the level of automation of AI systems or any significant changes to that; |
| | ا | sensitivity of data types and sources processed by the AI system or any significant changes to that; |
| | | ements that are part of the AI system impact assessment process, which can clude: |
| | | ☐ identification (e.g., sources, events and outcomes); |
| | | analysis (e.g., consequences and likelihood); |
| | | □ evaluation (e.g., acceptance decisions and prioritization); |
| | | □ treatment (e.g., mitigation measures); |
| | | ☐ documentation, reporting and communication; |

1/c°

| | | who is responsible from performing the AI system impact assessment; |
|----|-----|--|
| | | how the AI system impact assessment can inform the design or use of the system, whether it can trigger reviews and approvals; |
| | | individuals and societies that are potentially impacted based on the system's intended purpose, use and characteristics (e.g., assessment for individual, groups of individuals or societies); |
| | | other (data used for the development of the AI system, the AI technologies, the functionality of the overall system. 3.2.4.4 |
| 2) | | s the organisation document: Sark all that apply. |
| | | I the intended use of the AI system and any reasonably foreseeable misuse of the AI system; |
| | | positive and negative impacts of the AI system to the relevant individuals or groups of individuals, or both, and societies; |
| | | predictable failures, their potential impacts and measures taken to mitigate them; |
| | | relevant demographic groups the system is applicable to; |
| | | complexity of the system; |
| | | I the role of humans in relationships with system, including human oversight capabilities, processes and tools available to avoid negative impacts; |
| | | employment and staff skilling. 3.2.4.5 |
| 8. | Res | ources |
| 1) | | mentation on data should include, but is not limited to: fark all that apply. |
| | | I the provenance of the data; |
| | | I the date that the data were last updated or modified (e.g., date tag in metadata); |
| | | I for machine learning, the categories of data (e.g., training, validation, test and production data); |
| | | l categories of data; |
| | | process for labelling data; |
| | | I intended use of the data; |

| 1 | L | ® |
|---|---|---|
| 7 | 7 | |

| П | quality of data; |
|---------------|--|
| | applicable data retention and disposal policies; |
| | known or potential bias issues in the data; |
| | data preparation. 4.1.5 |
| _ | data proparation. """ |
| 9. Mana | agement guidance for AI system development |
| | consible development of AI system processes should include consideration of, at limitation, the following: |
| | life cycle stages; |
| | testing requirements and planned means for testing; |
| | human oversight requirements, including processes and tools, especially when the Al system can impact natural persons; |
| | at what stages AI system impact assessments should be performed; |
| | training data expectations and rules (e.g., what data can be used, approved data suppliers and labelling); |
| | expertise (subject matter domain or other) required or training for developers of Al systems or both; |
| | release criteria*; |
| | management approvals and sign-offs necessary at various stages; |
| | change control; |
| | usability and controllability; |
| | engagement of interested parties. 8.1.2 |
| *Set of re | equirements to be met prior to release and deployment. |
| 10. Al sy | stem requirements and specifications |
| 1) Som | ne of the factors that can be considered and documented: |
| | why the AI system is to be developed (e.g., business case, customer request, government policy); |
| | how the model can be trained and how the data requirements can be achieved. |

8.2.2



11. Documentation of AI system design and development

1) For the design and development of the Al system, the organisation can document,

| inclu | iding, but not limited to: |
|-------|---|
| | ☐ machine learning approach (e.g., supervised vs. unsupervised); |
| | ☐ learning algorithm and type of machine learning model utilized; |
| | ☐ how the model is intended to be trained and which data quality; |
| | ☐ evaluation and refinement of models; |
| | ☐ hardware and software components; |
| | security threats considered throughout the AI system life cycle; security threats specific to AI system include data poisoning, model stealing or model inversion attacks; |
| | ☐ interface and presentation of outputs; |
| | ☐ how humans can interact with the system; |
| | ☐ interoperability and portability considerations. 8.3.1 |
| | system verification and validation hese measures can include, but are not limited to: |
| | ☐ testing methodologies and tools; |
| | ☐ selection of test data and their representation of the intended domain of use; |
| | ☐ release criteria requirements. 8.4.2 |
| - | The AI system should be evaluated against the documented criteria for evaluation. The rganisation should define and document evaluation criteria such as, but not limited to: |
| | a plan to evaluate the AI system components and the whole AI system for risks related to impacts on individuals or groups of individuals, or both, and societies; |
| | ☐ the evaluation plan can be based on, for example: |
| | (a) reliability and safety requirements of the AI system, including acceptable error rates for the AI system performance; |



(c) operational factors such as quality of data, intended use, including acceptable ranges of each operational factor;

(d) any intended uses which can require more rigorous operational factors to be defined, including different acceptable ranges for operational factors or lower error rates;

| the methods, guidance or metrics to be used to evaluate whether relevant |
|---|
| interested parties who make decisions or are subject to decisions based on the Al |
| system outputs can adequately interpret the AI system outputs. The frequency of |
| evaluation should be determined and can be based upon results from an AI system |
| impact assessment; |
| |

any acceptable factors that can account for an inability to meet a target minimum performance level, especially when the AI system is evaluated for impacts on individuals or groups of individuals, or both, and societies (e.g., poor image resolution for computer vision systems or background noise affecting speech recognition systems). Mechanisms to deal with poor AI system performance as a result of these factors should also be documented. 8.4.3

13. Al System Deployment

1)

| A de | eployment plan should take into account such as, but not limited to: |
|------|--|
| | the environment AI system developed and deployed (developed on premises and deployed using cloud computing); |
| | how the components are deployed (e.g., software and model can be deployed |
| | separately); |
| | release criteria; |
| | (a) verification and validation measures; |
| | (b) performance metrics; |
| | (c) user testing; |
| | (d) management approvals and sign-offs; |
| | the perspectives of and impacts to relevant interested parties. 8.5.2 |



14. Al System Operation and Monitoring

| 1) Monitoring, repairs, updates and support can include, but not limited to: | | |
|--|---|--|
| Mor | nitoring: | |
| | general errors and failures; | |
| | whether the system is performing as expected with production data; | |
| | success rates in resolving problems or in achieving task, or confidence rates; | |
| | meeting commitment or expectation and needs of interested parties, including; (a) ensuring compliance with customer requirements or applicable legal requirements; | |
| | (b) where machine learning is used, ensuring that it continues to meet its design goals and operates on production data as intended; | |
| | (c) where concept or data drift in production data is possible, identifying the need for retraining to ensure that the AI system continues to meet its design | |
| <u></u> | goals and operates on production data as intended; | |
| | repairing responses to errors and failures; | |
| | updating the system including components affected, update schedule, information to users on what is included in the update; | |
| | changes in the system operations, new or modified intended uses, changes in system functionality; | |
| | procedures in place to address operational changes, including communication to users; | |
| | support processes for; | |
| | ☐ how users can contact the appropriate help; | |
| | ☐ how issues and incidents are reported; | |
| | ☐ support service level agreements and metrics; | |
| | to be considered; | |
| | ☐ unanticipated uses of the AI system; | |
| | ☐ Al-specific information security threats (e.g., data poisoning, model stealing, model inversion attacks). 8.6.2 | |



15. Al System Technical Documentation

| | your AI system technical documentation include the following elements? (non-ustive list) |
|--------------------------------|---|
| _ _ | a general description of the AI system, including its intended purpose; usage instructions; |
| | technical assumptions about its deployment and operation (run-time environment, related software and hardware capabilities, assumptions made on data, etc.); |
| | technical limitations (acceptable error rates, accuracy, reliability, robustness); |
| | monitoring capabilities and functions that allow users or operators to influence the system operation. 8.7.2 |
| inclu orga oper by th | e organisation should have procedures in place to address operational changes ding communication to users and internal evaluations on the type of change. The nisation should document the technical information related to the responsible ration of the AI system. Documentation should be up-to-date, accurate and approved the relevant management within the organisation. The documentation can include, but It limited to: |
| | a plan for managing failures; |
| | processes for monitoring the health of the AI system and for addressing AI system failures; |
| | standard operating procedures for the AI system, including events monitoring, events logging, investigating and prevention of failures; |
| | the roles of personnel responsible from various stages of AI system life cycle; system updates. 8.7.3 |
| An | exemplary list of documentation elements related to all AI system life cycle stages: |
| | ⇒ design and system architecture specification; |
| | ⇒ design choices made and quality measures taken during the system development process; |
| | \Rightarrow information about the data used during system development; |
| | ⇒ assumptions made and quality measures taken on data quality (e.g., assumed statistical distributions); |



- ⇒ management activities (e.g., risk management) taken during development or operation of the AI system;
- ⇒ verification and validation records;
- ⇒ changes made to the Al **system** when it is in operation;
- ⇒ impact assessment documentation.

16. Al system recording of event logs

- 1) The organisation ensures logging for Al system it deploys:
 - (a) automatically collects and records event logs including;
 - (b) traceability of the Al system's functionality to ensure that the Al system is operating as intended;
 - (c) detection of the AI system's performance outside of the AI system's intended operating conditions that can result in undesirable performance on production data or impacts to relevant interested parties through monitoring of the operation of the AI system;
 - (d) the date and time the AI system is used;
 - (e) the production data on which the Al system operates on;
 - (f) the outputs that fall out of the range of the intended operation of the Al system. 8.8.2

| 2) | Event log | s should be kept as long as required for the intended use of the Al system and |
|----|-----------|--|
| | within da | ta retention policies of the organisation. Has the organisation determined a |
| | retention | period in accordance with applicable legal requirements? |
| | | Yes |
| | | No <i>8.8.3</i> |
| | | |

*The requirement of the EU AI Act is to retain the event logs for six months pursuant to Art.19, unless provided otherwise in the applicable law.



17. Data for AI systems

| 1) Doe | s data management include the topics below (non-exhaustive): |
|------------------|--|
| Г | privacy and security implications due to the use of data, some of which can be sensitive in nature; |
| | security and safety threats that can arise from data dependent AI system development; |
| С | transparency and explainability aspects including data provenance and the ability to provide an explanation of how data are used for determining an AI system's output if the system requires transparency and explainability; |
| | representativeness of training data compared to operational domain of use; |
| | accuracy and integrity of the data. 9.1.2 |
| 1) Has ti | ne organisation determined any details for data acquisition such as: |
| | categories of data needed for the AI system; |
| | guantity of data needed; |
| | data sources (e.g., internal, purchased, shared, open data, synthetic); |
| С | characteristics of the data source (e.g., static, streamed, gathered, machine generated); |
| С | data subject demographics and characteristics (e.g., known or potential biases or other synthetic errors); |
| С | prior handling of the data (e.g., previous uses, conformity with privacy and security requirements); |
| | data rights (e.g., PII, copyright); |
| Е | associated meta data (e.g., details of data labelling and enhancing); |
| | provenance of the data. 9.2.2 |



19. Quality of data for AI systems

| = | | he organisation uses supervised or semi-supervised machine learning, the quality ng, validation, test and production data should be: |
|----------|------------------|--|
| | | defined, measured and improved to the extent possible; |
| | | suitable for the organisation's intended purpose. 9.3.2 |
| system f | fairness | ed that the organisation considers the impact of bias in system performance and and make adjustments as necessary to the model and data used to improve and fairness |
| 20. Da | ıta pro | ovenance |
| | organis | ling on factors such as the source of the data, its content and the context of its use ations should consider whether measures to verify the provenance of the data are ! The record can include information about: |
| | | the creation, update, transcription, abstraction, validation and transferring of the control of data; |
| | | data sharing (without transfer of control); |
| | | data transformations. 9.4.2 |
| 21. Da | ta pre | paration |
| 1) | data p used i | given AI task, the organisation should document its criteria for selecting specific reparation methods and transforms as well as the specific methods and transforms in the AI task. Do you use any of these common preparation methods and primations for data used in the AI system? |
| | | statistical exploration of the data (e.g., distribution, mean, median, standard deviation, range, stratification, sampling) and statistical metadata (e.g., data documentation initiative (DDI) specification); |
| | | cleaning (i.e., correcting entries, dealing with missing entries) |
| | | imputation (i.e., methods for filling in missing entries); |
| | | normalisation; |
| | | scaling; |

7K®

| labelling of the target variables; |
|---|
| encoding (e.g., converting categorical variables to numbers). $9.5.2$ |

22. System documentation and information for users

| 1) Do you | ı provide any of this information to users (non-exhaustive list): | |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| | purpose of the system; | |
| | that the user is interacting with an AI system; | |
| | how to interact with the system; | |
| | how and when to override the system; | |
| | technical requirements for system operation, including the computational | |
| | resources needed, and limitations of the system as well as its expected lifetime; | |
| | needs for human oversight; | |
| | information about accuracy and performance; | |
| | relevant information from the impact assessment, including potential benefits and | |
| | harms particularly if they are applicable in specific contexts or certain | |
| | demographic groups; | |
| | revisions to claims about the system's benefits; | |
| | updates and changes in how the system works, as well as any necessary | |
| | maintenance measures, including their frequency; | |
| | contact information; | |
| | educational materials for system use. 10.1.2. | |
| 2) Do you | u document whether and what information* is to be provided to users? | |
| | Yes | |
| | No <i>10.1.3</i> | |
| | | |
| *Relevant criteria include but are not limited to the intended use and reasonably foreseeable misuse of the AI system, the expertise of the user and specific impact of the Asystem. | | |

米 code4thought ®



23. Communication of incidents

| 1) | | e the organisation determined any of the below, by legal (such as contracts) or latory requirements: |
|---------|--------|---|
| | | 1 types of incidents that must be communicated; |
| | | 1 the timeline for notification; |
| | | l whether and which authorities must be notified; |
| | | I the details required to be communicated. 10.3.2 |
| 24. Pro | oces | ses for responsible use of AI systems |
| = | | of the policies to be considered by the organisation to determine whether to use a lar AI system: |
| | | - |
| | | cost (including for ongoing monitoring and maintenance); |
| | | approved sourcing requirements; |
| | | legal requirements applicable to the organisation. |
| 25. Ob | oject | ives for responsible use of AI systems |
| 1) | | which stages of the AI system life cycle meaningful human oversight objectives are prporated? |
| Λ | Aark a | all that apply |
| | | Checking the outputs of the AI system; |
| | | ☐ including having authority to override decisions made by the AI system. |
| | | Ensuring that human oversight is included if required for acceptable use of the Al |
| | | system according to instructions or other documentation associated with the intended deployment of the Al system. |
| | П | intended deployment of the Al system. Monitoring the performance of the Al system; |
| | Ц | including the accuracy of the Al system outputs. |
| | | including the accuracy of the Ar system outputs. |

ンド。

| | | Reporting concerns related to the outputs of the Al system and their impact to relevant interested parties. |
|----|---------------------|---|
| | | Reporting concerns with changes in the performance or ability of the AI system to make correct outputs on the production data. |
| | | Considering whether automated decision-making is appropriate for a responsible approach to the use of an AI system and the intended use of the AI system. |
| | | Other |
| | | None 11.2.1 |
| 2) | of, train the du | e personnel involved in human oversight activities related to the AI system informed ned and understand the instructions and other documentation to the AI system and ties they carry out to satisfy human oversight objectives? Yes No 11.2.2 |
| | | ed use of the AI system |
| 1) | Does t that: | he organisation take necessary actions, when deploying the Al system to ensure |
| | | l human oversight is applied as required (informed by the Al system impact assessment) |
| | | I the data that the AI system is used on aligns with the documentation associated with the AI system; |
| | | I the operation of the AI system is monitored; |
| | | I the organisation communicates its concerns to the relevant personnel inside the organisation and any third-party suppliers of the Al system; |
| | | |



27. Third-party and customer relationships

27.1. Allocating responsibilities

| 1) | be appi | to establish the responsibility and accountability of the organisation, risks should copriately apportioned when third parties are involved at any stage of the AI system e. Does the organisation document all parties intervening in the AI system life cycle ir roles and determine their responsibilities? |
|------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | Yes |
| | | No <i>12.1.1</i> |
| 2) | Does th | e organisation: |
| | | provide the necessary documentation for the AI system to relevant interested parties and to the third party that the organisation is supplying the AI system to; ensure that the responsibilities are split between PII processors and controllers based on the data processing activities of involved parties and AI system. 12.1.2 |
| 27.2 | 2. Suppl | iers |
| 1) | machine libraries Selectio | ations developing or using an AI system can utilize suppliers by sourcing datasets, be learning algorithms or models, or other components of a system such as software at to an entire AI system itself for use on its own or as part of another product. On of suppliers can pose varying level of risk to the system and organisation. Does anisation consider: |
| | | different types of suppliers; |
| | | what they supply; |
| | | the requirement placed on those suppliers; |
| | | the levels of ongoing monitoring and evaluation needed for the suppliers. 12.2.1 |
| 2) | its comp individu | e organisation require the supplier to take corrective actions when the AI system or conents from a supplier do not perform as intended or can result in impacts to als or groups of individuals, or both, and societies that are not aligned with the ible approach to AI systems taken by the organisation? |
| | | Yes No 12.2.2 |



| - | e organisation document how the AI system and AI system components are ed into AI systems developed or used by the organisation? |
|---|--|
| _ | Yes No 12.2.3 |

27.3. Customers

| 1) | The organisation should understand customer expectations and needs* when it is supplying a product or a service related to the AI system (i.e., when it is itself a supplier). Does the organisation fulfil the customer's needs and expectations in any of the following forms? |
|----|--|
| | requirements for the product or service itself during a design or engineering phase; |
| | ☐ contractual requirements; |

*An example, the organisation can identify risks related to the use of its Al products and services by the customer and can decide to treat the identified risks by giving appropriate information to its customer, so that the customer can then treat the corresponding risks. Appropriate information such as the Al system is only valid for a certain domain of use.

☐ general usage agreements. 12.3.1



Take the Next Step Toward Responsible Al Governance

At code4thought, we believe that trustworthy Al starts with structured, transparent, and ethical practices—exactly what ISO 42001 aims to establish. As the first global standard for Al Management Systems, ISO 42001 provides organizations with the foundation to govern Al responsibly, mitigate risk, and foster innovation with integrity.

You can find more info about ISO 42001 and other Al Governance Standards in our Ultimate Guide here

We are your partner in building Al systems that are not only compliant but also trustworthy, reliable, and innovative through our <u>Trustworthy Al services</u>. Our specialized <u>Al Governance Advisory</u>, along with our <u>360° Al Assessment</u> and <u>Al Quality Testing & Audit</u>, support organizations at every stage of their compliance journey—from readiness evaluation to full implementation. Whether you're just beginning to explore ISO 42001 alignment or preparing for certification, we're here to guide you with expertise and actionable insights.

Ready to transform how you govern and manage AI? Contact our team today to discover how code4thought and iQ4AI can help you implement world-class AI governance solutions tailored to your unique business needs. Together, we'll unlock the full potential of AI while ensuring it serves as a force for good in your organization and beyond.

Visit <u>code4thought.eu</u> to learn more or schedule a consultation today!

★ code4thought ®
We make technology
trusted & thoughtful

